

Homogeneity of ideals

Jacek Tryba

Warszawa, August 2017

Joint work with Adam Kwela.

Homogeneity

The *restriction of the ideal \mathcal{I} to $X \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{I}$* is given by

$$\mathcal{I}|X = \{A \cap X : A \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

Given two ideals \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} we write $\mathcal{I} \cong \mathcal{J}$ if there is a bijection $f : \bigcup \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \bigcup \mathcal{J}$ such that $f[C] \in \mathcal{J} \iff C \in \mathcal{I}$.

Homogeneity

The *restriction of the ideal \mathcal{I} to $X \subseteq \cup \mathcal{I}$* is given by

$$\mathcal{I}|X = \{A \cap X : A \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

Given two ideals \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} we write $\mathcal{I} \cong \mathcal{J}$ if there is a bijection $f : \cup \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \cup \mathcal{J}$ such that $f[C] \in \mathcal{J} \iff C \in \mathcal{I}$.

Definition (Homogeneity)

Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on ω . Then

$$H(\mathcal{I}) = \{A \subseteq \omega : \mathcal{I}|A \cong \mathcal{I}\}$$

is called *the homogeneity family of the ideal \mathcal{I}* .

Homogeneity

The *restriction of the ideal \mathcal{I} to $X \subseteq \cup \mathcal{I}$* is given by

$$\mathcal{I}|X = \{A \cap X : A \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

Given two ideals \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} we write $\mathcal{I} \cong \mathcal{J}$ if there is a bijection $f : \cup \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \cup \mathcal{J}$ such that $f[C] \in \mathcal{J} \iff C \in \mathcal{I}$.

Definition (Homogeneity)

Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on ω . Then

$$H(\mathcal{I}) = \{A \subseteq \omega : \mathcal{I}|A \cong \mathcal{I}\}$$

is called *the homogeneity family of the ideal \mathcal{I}* .

Theorem

When $A \in H(\mathcal{I})$ and $B \supseteq A$ then $B \in H(\mathcal{I})$.

Definition

We call an ideal \mathcal{I} on ω *homogeneous* if

$$H(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{I}^+ = \{A \subseteq X : A \notin \mathcal{I}\}.$$

Definition

We call an ideal \mathcal{I} on ω *anti-homogeneous* if

$$H(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{I}^* = \{A \subseteq X : A^c \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

Definition

We call an ideal \mathcal{I} on ω *homogeneous* if

$$H(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{I}^+ = \{A \subseteq X : A \notin \mathcal{I}\}.$$

Definition

We call an ideal \mathcal{I} on ω *anti-homogeneous* if

$$H(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{I}^* = \{A \subseteq X : A^c \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

Definition

We call an ideal \mathcal{I} on ω *weakly homogeneous* if for every $A \in \mathcal{I}^+$ there is $B \subseteq A$ such that $B \in H(\mathcal{I})$.

Definition

We call an ideal \mathcal{I} on ω *homogeneous* if

$$H(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{I}^+ = \{A \subseteq X : A \notin \mathcal{I}\}.$$

Definition

We call an ideal \mathcal{I} on ω *anti-homogeneous* if

$$H(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{I}^* = \{A \subseteq X : A^c \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

Definition

We call an ideal \mathcal{I} on ω *weakly homogeneous* if for every $A \in \mathcal{I}^+$ there is $B \subseteq A$ such that $B \in H(\mathcal{I})$.

All weakly homogeneous ideals are homogeneous.

- Fin , the ideal of all finite sets, is homogeneous.

Examples

- Fin , the ideal of all finite sets, is homogeneous.
- Maximal ideals are both homogeneous and anti-homogeneous.

Examples

- Fin , the ideal of all finite sets, is homogeneous.
- Maximal ideals are both homogeneous and anti-homogeneous.
- Van der Waerden ideal $\mathcal{W} = \{A \subseteq \omega : \exists_{n \in \omega} A \text{ does not contain an arithmetic progression of length } n\}$ is homogeneous.

- Fin , the ideal of all finite sets, is homogeneous.
- Maximal ideals are both homogeneous and anti-homogeneous.
- Van der Waerden ideal $\mathcal{W} = \{A \subseteq \omega : \exists n \in \omega A \text{ does not contain an arithmetic progression of length } n\}$ is homogeneous.
- Let $\{I_n : n \in \omega\}$ be a family of consecutive intervals such that each I_n has length $n!$. An ideal $\mathcal{I} = \{A \subseteq \omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |A \cap I_n|/n! = 0\}$ is anti-homogeneous.

- Fin , the ideal of all finite sets, is homogeneous.
- Maximal ideals are both homogeneous and anti-homogeneous.
- Van der Waerden ideal $\mathcal{W} = \{A \subseteq \omega : \exists n \in \omega A \text{ does not contain an arithmetic progression of length } n\}$ is homogeneous.
- Let $\{I_n : n \in \omega\}$ be a family of consecutive intervals such that each I_n has length $n!$. An ideal $\mathcal{I} = \{A \subseteq \omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |A \cap I_n|/n! = 0\}$ is anti-homogeneous.
- Ideal of sets of asymptotic density zero $\mathcal{I}_d = \left\{A \subseteq \mathbb{N} : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A \cap \{0, 1, \dots, n\}|}{n+1} = 0\right\}$ is neither homogeneous nor anti-homogeneous.

Definition (Balcerzak, Głąb, Swaczyna)

Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on ω and $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ be an injection. We say that f is:

- \mathcal{I} -invariant if $f[A] \in \mathcal{I}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{I}$;
- bi- \mathcal{I} -invariant if $f[A] \in \mathcal{I} \iff A \in \mathcal{I}$ for all $A \subseteq \omega$.

If $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ is bi- \mathcal{I} -invariant then $f[\omega] \in H(\mathcal{I})$. On the other hand, if $A \in H(\mathcal{I})$ then there is a bi- \mathcal{I} -invariant $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ with $f[\omega] = A$.

Fix points of invariant functions

Theorem

The following are equivalent for any ideal \mathcal{I} on ω :

- *there is an \mathcal{I} -invariant injection $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ with $\text{Fix}(f) \notin \mathcal{I}^*$ and $f[\omega] \notin \mathcal{I}$;*
- *\mathcal{I} is not a maximal ideal.*

Theorem

The following are equivalent for any ideal \mathcal{I} on ω :

- *there is a bi- \mathcal{I} -invariant injection $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ with $\text{Fix}(f) \notin \mathcal{I}^*$;*
- *there are $A, B \subseteq \omega$ such that $A \triangle B \notin \mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}|A \cong \mathcal{I}|B$.*

Fix points of invariant functions

Theorem

The following are equivalent for any ideal \mathcal{I} on ω :

- *there is an \mathcal{I} -invariant injection $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ with $\text{Fix}(f) \notin \mathcal{I}^*$ and $f[\omega] \notin \mathcal{I}$;*
- *\mathcal{I} is not a maximal ideal.*

Theorem

The following are equivalent for any ideal \mathcal{I} on ω :

- *there is a bi- \mathcal{I} -invariant injection $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ with $\text{Fix}(f) \notin \mathcal{I}^*$;*
- *there are $A, B \subseteq \omega$ such that $A \triangle B \notin \mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}|A \cong \mathcal{I}|B$.*

Problem

Characterize the ideals for which there are no $A, B \subseteq \omega$ such that $A \triangle B \notin \mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}|A \cong \mathcal{I}|B$. Specifically, find a “nice” example of such an ideal.

Ideal convergence

Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on ω . We say that a real sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \omega}$ is \mathcal{I} -convergent to $x \in \mathbb{R}$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\{n \in \omega : |x_n - x| > \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Ideal convergence

Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on ω . We say that a real sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \omega}$ is \mathcal{I} -convergent to $x \in \mathbb{R}$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\{n \in \omega : |x_n - x| > \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Proposition

The following are equivalent for any ideal \mathcal{I} on ω not isomorphic to $\text{Fin} \oplus \mathcal{P}(\omega)$:

- *for any sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of reals, \mathcal{I} -convergence of $(x_n)_{n \in \omega}$ to some $x \in \mathbb{R}$ implies convergence of $(x_{f(n)})_{n \in \omega}$ to x for some bi- \mathcal{I} -invariant injection f ;*
- *for every countable family $\{A_n : n \in \omega\} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ there exists such $A \in H(\mathcal{I})$ that $A \cap A_n$ is finite for every $n \in \omega$.*

A homogeneous ideal satisfies the above if and only if it is a weak P-ideal. Moreover, an anti-homogeneous ideal satisfies the above if and only if it is a P-ideal.

Theorem

Let $A \in H(\mathcal{I}_d)$ and $\{a_0, a_1 \dots\}$ be an increasing enumeration of A . Then the function $f: \omega \rightarrow A$ given by $f(n) = a_n$ witnesses that $\mathcal{I}_d|A \cong \mathcal{I}_d$.

Enumeration as isomorphism

Theorem

Let $A \in H(\mathcal{I}_d)$ and $\{a_0, a_1 \dots\}$ be an increasing enumeration of A . Then the function $f: \omega \rightarrow A$ given by $f(n) = a_n$ witnesses that $\mathcal{I}_d|A \cong \mathcal{I}_d$.

Theorem

Let \mathcal{I} be such that for every $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \subseteq \omega$ when $|B \cap \{0, \dots, n\}| \leq |A \cap \{0, \dots, n\}|$ for almost all $n \in \omega$ then $B \in \mathcal{I}$. Then \mathcal{I} has the property mentioned above

Enumeration as isomorphism

Theorem

Let $A \in H(\mathcal{I}_d)$ and $\{a_0, a_1 \dots\}$ be an increasing enumeration of A . Then the function $f: \omega \rightarrow A$ given by $f(n) = a_n$ witnesses that $\mathcal{I}_d|A \cong \mathcal{I}_d$.

Theorem

Let \mathcal{I} be such that for every $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \subseteq \omega$ when $|B \cap \{0, \dots, n\}| \leq |A \cap \{0, \dots, n\}|$ for almost all $n \in \omega$ then $B \in \mathcal{I}$. Then \mathcal{I} has the property mentioned above

Problem

Can any other ideal have this property?