

Selective versions of separability

Lyubomyr Zdomskyy

Kurt Gödel Research Center for Mathematical Logic
University of Vienna

Frontiers of Selection Principles
Cardinal Stefan Wyszyński University, Warsaw
Celebrating the 60th birthday of Marion Scheepers
August 30, 2017

X **Menger** if for every sequence $\langle \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of open covers of X there is a sequence $\langle \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{V}_n \in [\mathcal{U}_n]^{<\omega}$ and $\{\cup \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a cover of X .

X **Menger** if for every sequence $\langle \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of open covers of X there is a sequence $\langle \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{V}_n \in [\mathcal{U}_n]^{<\omega}$ and $\{\cup \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a cover of X .

X **Hurewicz** if for every sequence $\langle \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of open covers of X there is a sequence $\langle \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{V}_n \in [\mathcal{U}_n]^{<\omega}$ and each $x \in X$ belongs to $\cup \mathcal{V}_n$ for all but finitely many n .

X **Menger** if for every sequence $\langle \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of open covers of X there is a sequence $\langle \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{V}_n \in [\mathcal{U}_n]^{<\omega}$ and $\{\cup \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a cover of X .

X **Hurewicz** if for every sequence $\langle \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of open covers of X there is a sequence $\langle \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{V}_n \in [\mathcal{U}_n]^{<\omega}$ and each $x \in X$ belongs to $\cup \mathcal{V}_n$ for all but finitely many n .

X **Rothberger** if for every sequence $\langle \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of open covers of X there is a sequence $\langle U_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ and $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a cover of X .

X **Menger** if for every sequence $\langle \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of open covers of X there is a sequence $\langle \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{V}_n \in [\mathcal{U}_n]^{<\omega}$ and $\{\cup \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a cover of X .

X **Hurewicz** if for every sequence $\langle \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of open covers of X there is a sequence $\langle \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{V}_n \in [\mathcal{U}_n]^{<\omega}$ and each $x \in X$ belongs to $\cup \mathcal{V}_n$ for all but finitely many n .

X **Rothberger** if for every sequence $\langle \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of open covers of X there is a sequence $\langle U_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ and $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a cover of X .

σ -compact \rightarrow Hurewicz \rightarrow Menger \rightarrow Lindelöf.

X **Menger** if for every sequence $\langle \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of open covers of X there is a sequence $\langle \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{V}_n \in [\mathcal{U}_n]^{<\omega}$ and $\{\cup \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a cover of X .

X **Hurewicz** if for every sequence $\langle \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of open covers of X there is a sequence $\langle \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{V}_n \in [\mathcal{U}_n]^{<\omega}$ and each $x \in X$ belongs to $\cup \mathcal{V}_n$ for all but finitely many n .

X **Rothberger** if for every sequence $\langle \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of open covers of X there is a sequence $\langle U_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ and $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a cover of X .

σ -compact \rightarrow Hurewicz \rightarrow Menger \rightarrow Lindelöf.

countable \rightarrow Rothberger \rightarrow Menger.

X **Menger** if for every sequence $\langle \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of open covers of X there is a sequence $\langle \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{V}_n \in [\mathcal{U}_n]^{<\omega}$ and $\{\cup \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a cover of X .

X **Hurewicz** if for every sequence $\langle \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of open covers of X there is a sequence $\langle \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{V}_n \in [\mathcal{U}_n]^{<\omega}$ and each $x \in X$ belongs to $\cup \mathcal{V}_n$ for all but finitely many n .

X **Rothberger** if for every sequence $\langle \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of open covers of X there is a sequence $\langle U_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ and $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a cover of X .

σ -compact \rightarrow Hurewicz \rightarrow Menger \rightarrow Lindelöf.

countable \rightarrow Rothberger \rightarrow Menger.

Combinatorial versions of separability: switching points and open sets

Definition (Scheepers 1999)

X is *M -separable*, if for every sequence $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of dense subsets of X , one can pick finite subsets $F_n \subset D_n$ so that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n$ is dense.

Combinatorial versions of separability: switching points and open sets

Definition (Scheepers 1999)

X is *M-separable*, if for every sequence $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of dense subsets of X , one can pick finite subsets $F_n \subset D_n$ so that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n$ is dense.

Definition (Bella, Bonanzinga, Matveev 2009)

X is *H-separable*, if it satisfies a stronger version of the property above,

Combinatorial versions of separability: switching points and open sets

Definition (Scheepers 1999)

X is *M-separable*, if for every sequence $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of dense subsets of X , one can pick finite subsets $F_n \subset D_n$ so that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n$ is dense.

Definition (Bella, Bonanzinga, Matveev 2009)

X is *H-separable*, if it satisfies a stronger version of the property above, namely that every nonempty open set $O \subset X$ meets all but finitely many F_n 's

Combinatorial versions of separability: switching points and open sets

Definition (Scheepers 1999)

X is *M-separable*, if for every sequence $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of dense subsets of X , one can pick finite subsets $F_n \subset D_n$ so that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n$ is dense.

Definition (Bella, Bonanzinga, Matveev 2009)

X is *H-separable*, if it satisfies a stronger version of the property above, namely that every nonempty open set $O \subset X$ meets all but finitely many F_n 's (equivalently, $\bigcup_{n \in I} F_n$ is dense for all $I \in [\omega]^\omega$).

Combinatorial versions of separability: switching points and open sets

Definition (Scheepers 1999)

X is *M-separable*, if for every sequence $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of dense subsets of X , one can pick finite subsets $F_n \subset D_n$ so that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n$ is dense.

Definition (Bella, Bonanzinga, Matveev 2009)

X is *H-separable*, if it satisfies a stronger version of the property above, namely that every nonempty open set $O \subset X$ meets all but finitely many F_n 's (equivalently, $\bigcup_{n \in I} F_n$ is dense for all $I \in [\omega]^\omega$).

Definition (Scheepers 1999)

X is *R-separable*, if for every sequence $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of dense subsets of X , one can pick $d_n \in D_n$ so that $\{d_n : n \in \omega\}$ is dense.

Combinatorial versions of separability: switching points and open sets

Definition (Scheepers 1999)

X is *M -separable*, if for every sequence $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of dense subsets of X , one can pick finite subsets $F_n \subset D_n$ so that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n$ is dense.

Definition (Bella, Bonanzinga, Matveev 2009)

X is *H -separable*, if it satisfies a stronger version of the property above, namely that every nonempty open set $O \subset X$ meets all but finitely many F_n 's (equivalently, $\bigcup_{n \in I} F_n$ is dense for all $I \in [\omega]^\omega$).

Definition (Scheepers 1999)

X is *R -separable*, if for every sequence $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of dense subsets of X , one can pick $d_n \in D_n$ so that $\{d_n : n \in \omega\}$ is dense.

countable π -base $\rightarrow H$ -separable $\rightarrow M$ -separable \rightarrow dense sets are separable.

Combinatorial versions of separability: switching points and open sets

Definition (Scheepers 1999)

X is *M -separable*, if for every sequence $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of dense subsets of X , one can pick finite subsets $F_n \subset D_n$ so that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n$ is dense.

Definition (Bella, Bonanzinga, Matveev 2009)

X is *H -separable*, if it satisfies a stronger version of the property above, namely that every nonempty open set $O \subset X$ meets all but finitely many F_n 's (equivalently, $\bigcup_{n \in I} F_n$ is dense for all $I \in [\omega]^\omega$).

Definition (Scheepers 1999)

X is *R -separable*, if for every sequence $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of dense subsets of X , one can pick $d_n \in D_n$ so that $\{d_n : n \in \omega\}$ is dense.

countable π -base $\rightarrow H$ -separable $\rightarrow M$ -separable \rightarrow dense sets are separable.

countable π -base $\rightarrow R$ -separable $\rightarrow M$ -separable.

Countable FU spaces are M -separable. ○

Non-trivial examples

Countable FU spaces are M -separable. ◦

There seems to be no (consistent) example of a FU and non- H -separable space known.

Non-trivial examples

Countable FU spaces are M -separable. ◦

There seems to be no (consistent) example of a FU and non- H -separable space known. ?

Non-trivial examples

Countable FU spaces are M -separable. ◦

There seems to be no (consistent) example of a FU and non- H -separable space known. ?

Proposition

Let X be a countable space in V and G be $F_n(\omega_1, 2)$ -generic over V . Then X becomes R -separable in $V[G]$. ◦

Non-trivial examples

Countable FU spaces are M -separable. ◦

There seems to be no (consistent) example of a FU and non- H -separable space known. ?

Proposition

Let X be a countable space in V and G be $F_n(\omega_1, 2)$ -generic over V . Then X becomes R -separable in $V[G]$. ◦

Proposition

If a countable space X has a π -base of size $< \mathfrak{d}$ (resp. $< \mathfrak{b}$, $< \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$), then it is M -separable (resp. H -separable, R -separable). ◦

Theorem (Scheepers 1999)

For a metrizable space X ,

- $C_p(X)$ is M -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Menger;*

Theorem (Scheepers 1999)

For a metrizable space X ,

- 1. $C_p(X)$ is M -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Menger;*
- 2. $C_p(X)$ is R -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Rothberger.*

Theorem (Scheepers 1999)

For a metrizable space X ,

- 1. $C_p(X)$ is M -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Menger;*
- 2. $C_p(X)$ is R -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Rothberger.*

Theorem (Bella-Bonanzinga-Matveev 2009)

For a metrizable space X , $C_p(X)$ is H -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Hurewicz.

Connection via the C_p -duality

Theorem (Scheepers 1999)

For a metrizable space X ,

1. $C_p(X)$ is M -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Menger;
2. $C_p(X)$ is R -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Rothberger.

Theorem (Bella-Bonanzinga-Matveev 2009)

For a metrizable space X , $C_p(X)$ is H -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Hurewicz.

As a result, there are countable regular spaces (even countable topological groups) distinguishing between having countable π -base, H -separability, and M -separability. \circ

Connection via the C_p -duality

Theorem (Scheepers 1999)

For a metrizable space X ,

1. $C_p(X)$ is M -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Menger;
2. $C_p(X)$ is R -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Rothberger.

Theorem (Bella-Bonanzinga-Matveev 2009)

For a metrizable space X , $C_p(X)$ is H -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Hurewicz.

As a result, there are countable regular spaces (even countable topological groups) distinguishing between having countable π -base, H -separability, and M -separability. \circ

Question

Is there a ZFC example of an R -separable space without isolated points which doesn't have a countable π -base?

Connection via the C_p -duality

Theorem (Scheepers 1999)

For a metrizable space X ,

1. $C_p(X)$ is M -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Menger;
2. $C_p(X)$ is R -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Rothberger.

Theorem (Bella-Bonanzinga-Matveev 2009)

For a metrizable space X , $C_p(X)$ is H -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Hurewicz.

As a result, there are countable regular spaces (even countable topological groups) distinguishing between having countable π -base, H -separability, and M -separability. \circ

Question

Is there a ZFC example of an R -separable space without isolated points which doesn't have a countable π -base? If yes, then what about being not H -separable?

Definition

X has

1. *M-tightness* at $x \in X$ if for every sequence $\langle A_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of subsets of X s.t. $x \in \bar{A}_n$ for all n , there exists a sequence $\langle B_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $B_n \in [A_n]^{<\omega}$ and any open $O \ni x$ meets **some** B_n ;

Definition

X has

1. *M-tightness* at $x \in X$ if for every sequence $\langle A_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of subsets of X s.t. $x \in \bar{A}_n$ for all n , there exists a sequence $\langle B_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $B_n \in [A_n]^{<\omega}$ and any open $O \ni x$ meets **some** B_n ;
2. *H-tightness* at $x \in X$ if for every sequence $\langle A_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of subsets of X s.t. $x \in \bar{A}_n$ for all n , there exists a sequence $\langle B_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $B_n \in [A_n]^{<\omega}$ and any open $O \ni x$ meets **all but finitely many** B_n ;

Definition

X has

1. *M-tightness* at $x \in X$ if for every sequence $\langle A_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of subsets of X s.t. $x \in \bar{A}_n$ for all n , there exists a sequence $\langle B_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $B_n \in [A_n]^{<\omega}$ and any open $O \ni x$ meets **some** B_n ;
2. *H-tightness* at $x \in X$ if for every sequence $\langle A_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of subsets of X s.t. $x \in \bar{A}_n$ for all n , there exists a sequence $\langle B_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $B_n \in [A_n]^{<\omega}$ and any open $O \ni x$ meets **all but finitely many** B_n ;
3. *R-tightness* at $x \in X$ if for every sequence $\langle A_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of subsets of X s.t. $x \in \bar{A}_n$ for all n , there exists a sequence $\langle a_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $a_n \in A_n$ and any open $O \ni x$ contains **some** a_n ;

Definition

X has

1. *M-tightness* at $x \in X$ if for every sequence $\langle A_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of subsets of X s.t. $x \in \bar{A}_n$ for all n , there exists a sequence $\langle B_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $B_n \in [A_n]^{<\omega}$ and any open $O \ni x$ meets **some** B_n ;
2. *H-tightness* at $x \in X$ if for every sequence $\langle A_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of subsets of X s.t. $x \in \bar{A}_n$ for all n , there exists a sequence $\langle B_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $B_n \in [A_n]^{<\omega}$ and any open $O \ni x$ meets **all but finitely many** B_n ;
3. *R-tightness* at $x \in X$ if for every sequence $\langle A_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of subsets of X s.t. $x \in \bar{A}_n$ for all n , there exists a sequence $\langle a_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $a_n \in A_n$ and any open $O \ni x$ contains **some** a_n ; □

Observation

Suppose that a separable space has *M*-(resp. *H*-, *R*-)tightness at each point. Then it is *M*-(resp. *H*-, *R*-)separable. ◦

Theorem

For a metrizable space X , $C_p(X)$ is

1. M -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Menger;

Theorem

For a metrizable space X , $C_p(X)$ is

1. M -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Menger;
2. R -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Rothberger.

Theorem

For a metrizable space X , $C_p(X)$ is

1. M -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Menger;
2. R -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Rothberger.
3. H -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Hurewicz. \square

Theorem

For a metrizable space X , $C_p(X)$ is

1. M -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Menger;
2. R -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Rothberger.
3. H -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Hurewicz. \square

$C_p(X)$ is separable for metrizable separable X . \circ

Theorem

For a metrizable space X , $C_p(X)$ is

1. M -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Menger;
2. R -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Rothberger.
3. H -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Hurewicz. \square

$C_p(X)$ is separable for metrizable separable X . \circ

Thus for establishing the “if” direction in the C_p -dichotomies above, it is enough to get the corresponding type of tightness:

Theorem

For a metrizable space X , $C_p(X)$ is

1. M -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Menger;
2. R -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Rothberger.
3. H -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Hurewicz. \square

$C_p(X)$ is separable for metrizable separable X . \circ

Thus for establishing the “if” direction in the C_p -dichotomies above, it is enough to get the corresponding type of tightness:

Lemma

For a metrizable space X , $C_p(X)$ has

1. M -tightness if all finite powers of X are Menger;

Theorem

For a metrizable space X , $C_p(X)$ is

1. M -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Menger;
2. R -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Rothberger.
3. H -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Hurewicz. \square

$C_p(X)$ is separable for metrizable separable X . \circ

Thus for establishing the “if” direction in the C_p -dichotomies above, it is enough to get the corresponding type of tightness:

Lemma

For a metrizable space X , $C_p(X)$ has

1. M -tightness if all finite powers of X are Menger;
2. R -tightness if all finite powers of X are Rothberger.

Theorem

For a metrizable space X , $C_p(X)$ is

1. M -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Menger;
2. R -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Rothberger.
3. H -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Hurewicz. \square

$C_p(X)$ is separable for metrizable separable X . \circ

Thus for establishing the “if” direction in the C_p -dichotomies above, it is enough to get the corresponding type of tightness:

Lemma

For a metrizable space X , $C_p(X)$ has

1. M -tightness if all finite powers of X are Menger;
2. R -tightness if all finite powers of X are Rothberger.
3. H -tightness if all finite powers of X are Hurewicz. \circ

Theorem

For a metrizable space X , $C_p(X)$ is

1. M -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Menger;
2. R -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Rothberger.
3. H -separable if and only if all finite powers of X are Hurewicz. \square

$C_p(X)$ is separable for metrizable separable X . \circ

Thus for establishing the “if” direction in the C_p -dichotomies above, it is enough to get the corresponding type of tightness:

Lemma

For a metrizable space X , $C_p(X)$ has

1. M -tightness if all finite powers of X are Menger;
2. R -tightness if all finite powers of X are Rothberger.
3. H -tightness if all finite powers of X are Hurewicz. \circ

In case of M and H we'll use the following

Definition (Tsaban 2014)

$f : X \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ is *upper continuous* if $\{x : f(x)(n) < m\}$ is open for all $n, m \in \omega$.

To close the circle, we need

Lemma

For a metrizable space X , if $C_p(X)$ is

1. M -separable, then all finite powers of X are Menger;

To close the circle, we need

Lemma

For a metrizable space X , if $C_p(X)$ is

- 1. M -separable, then all finite powers of X are Menger;*
- 2. R -separable, then all finite powers of X are Rothberger.*

To close the circle, we need

Lemma

For a metrizable space X , if $C_p(X)$ is

- 1. M -separable, then all finite powers of X are Menger;*
- 2. R -separable, then all finite powers of X are Rothberger.*
- 3. H -separable, then all finite powers of X are Hurewicz. ◦*

To close the circle, we need

Lemma

For a metrizable space X , if $C_p(X)$ is

- 1. M -separable, then all finite powers of X are Menger;*
- 2. R -separable, then all finite powers of X are Rothberger.*
- 3. H -separable, then all finite powers of X are Hurewicz. ◦*

We'll consider the cases of M and H , R is a bit more subtle.

To close the circle, we need

Lemma

For a metrizable space X , if $C_p(X)$ is

- 1. M -separable, then all finite powers of X are Menger;*
- 2. R -separable, then all finite powers of X are Rothberger.*
- 3. H -separable, then all finite powers of X are Hurewicz. ◦*

We'll consider the cases of M and H , R is a bit more subtle. Let $\langle \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ be a sequence of open covers of X^k .

To close the circle, we need

Lemma

For a metrizable space X , if $C_p(X)$ is

- 1. M -separable, then all finite powers of X are Menger;*
- 2. R -separable, then all finite powers of X are Rothberger.*
- 3. H -separable, then all finite powers of X are Hurewicz. ◦*

We'll consider the cases of M and H , R is a bit more subtle. Let $\langle \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ be a sequence of open covers of X^k . Take $k = 2$.

To close the circle, we need

Lemma

For a metrizable space X , if $C_p(X)$ is

- 1. M -separable, then all finite powers of X are Menger;*
- 2. R -separable, then all finite powers of X are Rothberger.*
- 3. H -separable, then all finite powers of X are Hurewicz. ◦*

We'll consider the cases of M and H , R is a bit more subtle. Let $\langle \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ be a sequence of open covers of X^k . Take $k = 2$. Wlog, each \mathcal{U}_n is closed under finite unions.

To close the circle, we need

Lemma

For a metrizable space X , if $C_p(X)$ is

- 1. M -separable, then all finite powers of X are Menger;*
- 2. R -separable, then all finite powers of X are Rothberger.*
- 3. H -separable, then all finite powers of X are Hurewicz. ◦*

We'll consider the cases of M and H , R is a bit more subtle. Let $\langle \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ be a sequence of open covers of X^k . Take $k = 2$. Wlog, each \mathcal{U}_n is closed under finite unions. Passing to refinements, we may assume that all \mathcal{U}_n consist of sets of the form U^2 , where $U \subset X$ is open, and $\mathcal{U}_n^1 := \{U : U^2 \in \mathcal{U}_n\}$ is an ω -cover of X for all n . ◦

To close the circle, we need

Lemma

For a metrizable space X , if $C_p(X)$ is

1. M -separable, then all finite powers of X are Menger;
2. R -separable, then all finite powers of X are Rothberger.
3. H -separable, then all finite powers of X are Hurewicz. ◦

We'll consider the cases of M and H , R is a bit more subtle. Let $\langle \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ be a sequence of open covers of X^k . Take $k = 2$. Wlog, each \mathcal{U}_n is closed under finite unions. Passing to refinements, we may assume that all \mathcal{U}_n consist of sets of the form U^2 , where $U \subset X$ is open, and $\mathcal{U}_n^1 := \{U : U^2 \in \mathcal{U}_n\}$ is an ω -cover of X for all n . ◦

Note that

$D_n = \{f : f \upharpoonright (X \setminus U) = 1 \text{ for some } U \in \mathcal{U}_n^1\}$
is dense in $C_p(X)$.

To close the circle, we need

Lemma

For a metrizable space X , if $C_p(X)$ is

1. M -separable, then all finite powers of X are Menger;
2. R -separable, then all finite powers of X are Rothberger.
3. H -separable, then all finite powers of X are Hurewicz. ◦

We'll consider the cases of M and H , R is a bit more subtle. Let $\langle \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ be a sequence of open covers of X^k . Take $k = 2$. Wlog, each \mathcal{U}_n is closed under finite unions. Passing to refinements, we may assume that all \mathcal{U}_n consist of sets of the form U^2 , where $U \subset X$ is open, and $\mathcal{U}_n^1 := \{U : U^2 \in \mathcal{U}_n\}$ is an ω -cover of X for all n . ◦

Note that

$$D_n = \{f : f \upharpoonright (X \setminus U) = 1 \text{ for some } U \in \mathcal{U}_n^1\}$$

is dense in $C_p(X)$. Use M - or H -separability of $C_p(X)$. ◦

To close the circle, we need

Lemma

For a metrizable space X , if $C_p(X)$ is

1. M -separable, then all finite powers of X are Menger;
2. R -separable, then all finite powers of X are Rothberger.
3. H -separable, then all finite powers of X are Hurewicz. ◦

We'll consider the cases of M and H , R is a bit more subtle. Let $\langle \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ be a sequence of open covers of X^k . Take $k = 2$. Wlog, each \mathcal{U}_n is closed under finite unions. Passing to refinements, we may assume that all \mathcal{U}_n consist of sets of the form U^2 , where $U \subset X$ is open, and $\mathcal{U}_n^1 := \{U : U^2 \in \mathcal{U}_n\}$ is an ω -cover of X for all n . ◦

Note that

$$D_n = \{f : f \upharpoonright (X \setminus U) = 1 \text{ for some } U \in \mathcal{U}_n^1\}$$

is dense in $C_p(X)$. Use M - or H -separability of $C_p(X)$. ◦

Thank you for your attention.
Happy Birthday, Marion!